

DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 037 745

CG 005 041

AUTHOR Callahan, William T.; And Others
TITLE A Statewide Study Designed to Determine Methods of Reducing Injury in Interscholastic Football Competition by Equipment Modification. Final Report.
INSTITUTION New York State Public High School Athletic Association, Albany.
SPONS AGENCY New York State Education Dept., Albany. Div. of Research.
PUB DATE Nov 69
NOTE 52p.
EDRS PRICE EDRS Price MF-\$1.25 HC-\$2.70
DESCRIPTORS *Athletes, Athletic Activities, *Athletic Equipment, High School Students, *Injuries, *Motor Reactions, *Safety, Safety Education, Secondary School Students, Student Needs, Students

ABSTRACT

Through an examination of data on injuries to the lower extremities of varsity high school players, this study sought to ascertain 1) whether modified cleats brought about a reduction in the number and/or severity of such injuries; and 2) whether there existed an optimum combination of equipment for reduction in the number and/or severity of such injuries. After two years of gathering data and identifying other variables, it was determined that the lowest rate of serious knee injuries was incurred by youngsters outfitted with either low shoes, disc heels and ankle wraps or low shoes, shortie cleats and no ankle support. Data showed that those players with previous serious knee injuries were reinjured at a rate of 15 to 17 times greater than youngsters with no previous injury. Recommendations included: 1) all players be outfitted with low shoes and modified heels, discs, or shortened cleats; 2) consideration be given to modifying sole cleats; and 3) extensive efforts be undertaken to improve the physical conditioning of all football players. (KJ)

ED037745

N-56

A Statewide Study Designed to Determine Methods of Reducing
Injury in Interscholastic Football Competition by Equipment Modification

William T. Callahan
Francis J. Crowley
J. Kenneth Hafner

New York State Public High School Athletic Association

November 1969

Final Report
New York State Experimental and Innovative Programs
Article 73, Section 3602a, Subdivision 14 of the State Education Law

The Research Reported Herein was Supported by the New York State
Education Department, Division of Research
Albany, New York 12224

CG005041

ED037745

A Statewide Study Designed to Determine Methods of Reducing
Injury in Interscholastic Football Competition by Equipment Modification

William T. Callahan
Francis J. Crowley
J. Kenneth Hafner

November 1969

The work upon which this report is based was supported jointly by the New York State Public High School Athletic Association, and the New York State Education Department under article 73, section 3602a, subdivision 14 of the State Education Law. Agencies undertaking such projects are encouraged to express freely their professional judgment in the conduct of the project. Points of view or opinions stated do not, therefore, necessarily represent official policy of the New York State Education Department.

New York State Public High School Athletic Association

NYSPHSAA

Albany, New York

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION & WELFARE
OFFICE OF EDUCATION

THIS DOCUMENT HAS BEEN REPRODUCED EXACTLY AS RECEIVED FROM THE
PERSON OR ORGANIZATION ORIGINATING IT. POINTS OF VIEW OR OPINIONS
STATED DO NOT NECESSARILY REPRESENT OFFICIAL OFFICE OF EDUCATION
POSITION OR POLICY.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

Football is a great game. It is great, in large measure, because of the interest in youngsters and their safety demonstrated by the hundreds of physicians, school administrators, athletic directors, coaches, trainers and just plain fans who contributed their time and effort in order to make this research effort possible.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | |
|----------------------------------|----|
| INTRODUCTION | 1 |
| Statement of the Problem | 1 |
| Review of the Literature | 1 |
| Objectives or Hypotheses | 5 |
| METHODS OR PROCEDURES | 6 |
| First Year - 1967-68 | 6 |
| Subjects | 7 |
| Treatment | 7 |
| Instruments | 7 |
| Data Analysis | 8 |
| Second Year - 1968-69 | 8 |
| Subjects | 8 |
| Treatment | 9 |
| Instruments | 9 |
| Data Analysis | 12 |
| RESULTS | 12 |
| First Year - 1967-68 | 12 |
| Second Year - 1968-69 | 23 |
| DISCUSSION | 40 |
| Implications of Findings | 40 |
| First Year - 1967-68 | 40 |
| Second Year - 1968-69 | 40 |
| IMPLICATIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS | 42 |
| SUMMARY | 44 |
| REFERENCES | 45 |

LIST OF TABLES

| Table Number | | Page |
|--------------|--|------|
| 1 | 1967 - Number and % of Leg Injuries by Type of Cleat | 13 |
| 2 | 1967 - Number and % of Total Leg Injuries by Heel and Degree of Seriousness | 14 |
| 3 | 1967 - Number and % of Knee Injuries by Heel and Degree of Seriousness | 15 |
| 4 | 1967 - Number and % of Ankle Injuries by Heel and Degree of Seriousness | 16 |
| 5 | 1967 - Number and % of All Other Leg Injuries by Heel and Degree of Seriousness | 17 |
| 6 | 1967 - Total Leg Injuries - Distribution by Degree of Seriousness and Equipment Worn | 18 |
| 7 | 1967 - Knee Injuries - Distribution by Degree of Seriousness and Equipment Worn | 19 |
| 8 | 1967 - Ankle Injuries - Distribution by Degree of Seriousness and Equipment Worn | 20 |
| 9 | 1967 - Other Leg Injuries - Distribution by Degree of Seriousness and Equipment Worn | 21 |
| 10 | 1967 - Serious (31+) Leg Injuries - Distribution by Degree of Seriousness and Equipment Worn | 22 |
| 11 | 1968 - Total Leg Injuries by Shoe-Cleat-Support | 24 |
| 12 | 1968 - Total Leg Injuries by Cleat | 25 |
| 13 | 1968 - Knee Injuries by Shoe-Cleat-Support | 26 |
| 14 | 1968 - Knee Injuries by Cleat | 27 |
| 15 | 1968 - Serious Knee Injuries (31+) by Shoe-Cleat-Support | 28 |

| | | |
|----|---|----|
| 16 | 1968 - Serious Knee Injuries (31+) by Cleat | 29 |
| 17 | 1968 - Serious Knee Injuries (31+) by Shoe-Cleat-Player Position | 30 |
| 18 | 1968 - Serious Knee Injuries (31+) by Cleat-Player Position | 31 |
| 19 | 1968 - Shoe-Cleat-Support of Players with History of Serious Knee Injury (31+) Compared to Total Player Population | 32 |
| 20 | 1968 - Shoe-Cleat-Position of Players with History of Serious Knee Injury (31+) Compared to Total Player Population | 33 |
| 21 | 1968 - Probability of Injury to the Lower Extremities | 34 |
| 22 | 1968 - Multiple Regression Analysis - Selected Variables | 39 |

INTRODUCTION

Statement of the Problem

Football, on an organized basis, is played in the United States by some 750,000 high school boys. In New York State alone, approximately 18,000 high school athletes engage in football competition at the varsity level each year.

Football is, at once, a spectacular and a violent game. In a sport characterized by maximal exertion and physical contact between individuals, injuries must be anticipated. And a variety of physical injuries are sustained by football players - injuries which, in extreme cases, cause disability and, in the majority of instances, at least some loss of time from classroom instruction and athletic participation.

In 1963, the New York State Public High School Athletic Association initiated a series of studies directed to the identification (and eventual reduction) of the major causes of injury among interscholastic football players. This program, unparalleled in scope, was the first statewide survey in the nation designed to catalogue such injuries, in a standardized and medically acceptable manner, by type and severity.

These earlier athletic injury studies, and the base line data derived therefrom, generated a major concern about the number and severity of (football) injuries to the lower extremities - by far the most common type of such accidents observed. Where possible, rules governing play and equipment used have been modified in the hope of providing greater levels of protection to participants. In some cases (mouth guards, for example) observation and logic provided sufficient grounds for adoption of modifications and a marked reduction in reported injuries followed. With regard to reducing injuries to the lower extremities, logic does not suffice and research, to date, has been extremely limited.

Review of the Literature

The research on athletic injuries in general and football injuries specifically is somewhat limited. A major portion of this thin literature base was found to be either clinically oriented or highly speculative. In some cases, the speculative output was based on limited, uncontrolled observations of small, often nonrepresentative populations. In other cases, the speculative output was purely hypothesis development.

Given these shortcomings, existing literature did give some direction to the present endeavor.

If football injuries are hazards to health, as suggested by Eastwood¹, then continuous research is necessary if the injury and fatality rate is to be reduced. Further, if sports injuries are to be prevented, Ryan² suggests that there must be an appreciation of what makes an injury in sports different from other injuries. The American Medical Association's publication Standard Nomenclature of Athletic Injuries³ stated "there also must be an awareness that such injuries occur, have significance and merit faithful recording."

In a clinical and statistical study of football injuries at an overseas air force base, Allen⁴ recorded 290 injuries sustained by 465 players during the course of 34 games in 1965 and 1966. Of these injuries, 111 were major football injuries. The knee was the most frequently injured area and sprains were the most frequent type of disabling injury. Thirty eight players were hospitalized, 22 players were operated upon and 65 players received injuries such that they were unable to finish out the season. There were no deaths.

These data would seem to be in general agreement with a statement by the American Medical Association's Committee on the Medical Aspects of Sports⁵, which stated that the knee was the most frequently injured major joint of the body in athletics. These data are, however, in disagreement with a subsequent report by researchers at the University of Illinois⁶, where ankle injuries were most frequent. However, both reports dealt with noncontact as well as contact sports.

Allen⁷ concluded that the number of injuries resulting in disability were significant and attributable to (1) lack of medical supervision during preseason training periods and (2) limited preseason conditioning and practice sessions (due in part to the urgent nature of the military mission in Southeast Asia during the time of the study).

Rowe⁸, interpreting data gathered by the New York State Public High School Athletic Association, put the problem of injuries to high school athletes into sharp focus. He reported that over a period of years (beginning in 1963) the incidence of injuries, by sport, in terms of 1,000 participant exposures revealed that football generated over five times the number of reportable injuries as the next highest sport, wrestling. With regard to severity of injuries sustained, in terms of the number of days lost from participation per 1,000 exposures, football generated a severity rate in excess of four times that of the next highest sport, soccer.

A strong case for the gathering and interpretation of athletic injury data was made by Morehouse and Rasch⁹, who pointed out that such reports often lead to modifications of the rules, equipment and facilities

to increase safety. They expressed the belief that the continued occurrence of injuries places a heavy obligation on all individuals responsible for the welfare of the athlete.

One of the most comprehensive studies of athletic injuries was conducted at Harvard University between 1932 and 1954. Thorndike¹⁰, reporting on the data, pointed to the knee as the most commonly injured major joint in athletes. Of some 7,394 reported injuries, 1,137 were to the athlete's knee. The large majority of athletic injury surveys substantiated this conclusion.

Concern for football injuries in general and for knee injuries in particular has been increasing in the past decade. The popularity of football and the high visibility accorded it by television has brought the problem of injuries and injury prevention to the fore in many circles, professional and lay. So much injury was done to the knee joint in 1968 that Lardner¹¹, writing in the New York Times, called it (1968) "The Year of the Knee."

The broad interest only recently focused on injuries to the lower extremities, particularly to the knee, has called attention to several small scale attempts to eliminate or decrease both the incidence and severity of such athletic injuries and has highlighted the speculative differences, as to cause and prevention, being discussed and examined by physicians and other professionals connected with athletics.

Knee injuries, according to Gibbs¹², have been accepted traditionally as an occupational hazard of the football player. Hanley¹³ supported that and reported his beliefs about the causes of knee injuries and the possible prevention of some knee injuries by means of shoe equipment modification.

Hanley¹⁴ reported on statistics maintained at Bowdoin College since 1955 and highlighted the fact that four factors constitute the basic mechanism of a large proportion (77%) of knee injuries sustained by football players at his institution, namely (1) the foot of the injured extremity was fixed to the ground with long, conical cleats, (2) weight was borne on the extremity that was injured, (3) flexion of the knee, and (4) a torsional force was applied to the knee joint.

Of the four factors in the mechanism of knee injuries identified by Hanley¹⁵, only one could be altered significantly--the "foot fixed to the ground" factor. Therefore, it was decided to remove the normal (2) heel cleats and replace them with 5/8" flat, rubber heels which would allow the player's foot to rotate. And this was all that was done at Bowdoin.

The next step taken at Bowdoin was to identify players with a history of knee injuries since they were most likely to suffer another similar injury. Since 1959, some 36 of these players were outfitted with shoes equipped with flat heels and short, broad spher-type cleats on the sole. Of these players, identified as having a history of previous knee injuries, 35 have been able to play a complete football season even though 11 were observed to have been struck hard enough to reinjure the knee. The average time lost by these 11 players was 2.2 days while players not wearing heels and short front cleats averaged over 14 days of participation loss per injury.

Similar results have been reported (for players equipped with flat heels and/or short front cleats) by researchers at Colby College, Northeastern University, Harvard University, University of Houston (on Astro Turf), and other institutions.¹⁶

Rowe¹⁷ reported the results of a numerically limited but concentrated study of 1,325 varsity football players from 44 high schools in the Rochester, New York area. His results indicated that the low-cut shoe with disc heel was the safest shoe-cleat combination of those studied. The Rochester area study concluded that the use of the low shoe, disc heel combination would, on the average, save each team two knee and ankle injuries per season when compared with the most dangerous equipment combination, the low shoe with conventional cleats. Data were equated to comparable hours of participation under practice and game conditions. No conclusions could be drawn as to the effect of any shoe-cleat combination in minimizing the severity of knee and ankle injuries.

A completely different point of view has been expressed by Pisani¹⁸. He stated that prior to inventing and producing modified footwear and variations in cleats, serious consideration would have to be given to authorities on the subject of knee injuries and to statistical analysis of such injuries. Simply stated

The story unfolded by the computer and by the experience of men who play the game or coach it point away from the cleat story as the *raison d'etre* for knee injuries. Thorough questioning of the injured, review of motion pictures and stills, have failed to show a single, clearcut accident wherein the cleats were imbedded in the turf.

Pisani¹⁹, Orthopedic Surgeon to the New York Football Giants, reported that the majority of knee injuries happened when the leg was in the "dry-twig" position while the player was at the bottom of a pileup.

He pointed out that, in his opinion, (a) the mechanics that produce (football) knee injuries are similar to those in any accident, varying only in proportion to the force generated, (b) the knee was not meant to withstand the extreme rigors of football, particularly because of its limitations in planes of motion, and (c) modified cleats or other variations in footwear play little or no part in the prevention of knee injuries.

The major conclusions generated by a review of the literature in this area are that (1) the high incidence of injuries to the lower extremities of football players has been thoroughly and consistently documented, (2) there are sharp differences in professional opinion as to the major causes of such injuries, and (3) there is a marked lack of research into the prevention or remediation of such injuries. In few cases was there real evidence of the employment of defensible research technique--sufficient numbers of subject, control or randomization of variables and the like.

Support for such a study was voiced by various legislative, medical, educational and athletic officials. Furthermore, the State Education Department, the Medical Society of the State of New York, and the Superintendents' Committee on Interscholastic Athletics requested the New York State Public High School Athletic Association to evaluate the potential of modified cleats in the prevention and/or remediation of football injuries to the lower extremities.

Objectives or Hypotheses

The first year of this two-year endeavor sought to attain objectives at several levels.

At one level, survey in nature and focused on outcome, the study sought data necessary to answering several basic questions, namely:

1. Does a modification of the type of cleats (alone) worn on football shoes bring about a reduction in the number of injuries to the leg area?
2. Does a modification of the type of cleats (alone) worn on football shoes bring about a reduction in the severity of injuries to the leg area?
3. Is there a combination of equipment factors--shoes, cleats, ankle treatments--which reduces the number of injuries to the leg area?
4. Is there a combination of equipment factors--shoes, cleats, ankle treatments--which reduces the severity of injuries to the leg area?

At a second level, one concerned more with process and procedure, the study sought to answer several global questions with longitudinal implications, namely:

1. What other factors associated with the game of football contribute to the occurrence of leg injuries?
2. What other factors associated with football players (the condition of the organism) contribute to the occurrence of leg injuries?
3. What type or types of techniques (survey, controlled--sampling versus total population--research, or clinical study) are most efficient in the study of athletic injuries?

In an importance sense, this endeavor sought to break virgin ground for future students and to provide a base line for the second year of this study.

The second year of this two-year study had several objectives, namely:

1. a replication of the first year of the study;
2. a refinement of the study from a survey approach (1967-68) to an experimental examination of the most pertinent variables associated with both the game of football (position of player, condition of the field, etc.) and with the player (conditioning, experience in sports, type and extent of injury, type of shoe equipment worn and the like);
3. an analysis of data generated in answer to the basic questions enumerated above;
4. the development of recommendations for the game of football and the equipping of its players; and
5. recommendations for further study.

METHODS OR PROCEDURES

First Year - 1967-68

The major objective of the first year of this study was the determination of the relative effect of modified shoe equipment (conventional, disc and shortie cleats) on the number and degree of severity of injuries

to the leg. Related to that goal, was a determination of optimum combinations of equipment (cleats, type of shoe, type of ankle support) for reduction of the number and severity of injuries to the lower extremity.

Subjects

A population of 17,777 varsity high school football players was examined during 1967-68, the first year of the study. This population represented over 90% of such players in the State of New York and included almost all players from the Association of Private Schools of Metropolitan New York, New York State Association of Private Schools, N.Y. State Catholic High Schools Athletic Association, New York State Public High School Athletic Association, Public School Athletic League of the City of Buffalo and the Public School Athletic League of the City of New York.

Treatment

The major treatment variable was the type cleat worn by the various participants. Injury data--number of injuries and severity (in days removed from squad by physician)--were examined for the occurrence of significant deviation from expectation. Subsidiary comparisons were made by type of cleat, shoe and ankle treatment of those reported to have sustained injuries to the lower extremities.

Instruments

A data gathering instrument was designed and distributed to coaches in late Spring 1967. Information packets covering study goals, evaluative procedures and related data were prepared and disseminated. NYSPHSAA representatives met with coaches on a regional basis to clarify the research procedures and to discuss individual responsibilities.

The following types of information were gathered:

1. Basic information
 - a. Total number of players on squad.
 - b. Total leg injuries on squad.
 - c. Number of players wearing conventional cleats.
 - d. Number of players wearing conventional cleats injured in leg.
 - e. Number of players wearing disc or flat heels.
 - f. Number of players wearing disc or flat heels injured in leg.
 - g. Number of players wearing shortie cleats.
 - h. Number of players wearing shortie cleats injured in leg.

2. Information on specific injuries
 - a. Name of injured player.
 - b. Date of first practice session.
 - c. Date of injury.
 - d. Medical diagnosis.
 - e. Severity of injury (days removed by physician).
 - 1) 1-7
 - 2) 8-14
 - 3) 15-30
 - 4) 31 or more
 - f. History of previous injury.
 - g. Activity when injury occurred.
 - 1) Blocking - Blocked
 - 2) Tackling - Tackled
 - 3) No contact
 - h. Shoes
 - 1) High - Low
 - i. Ankle support
 - 1) Wraps
 - 2) Tape
 - 3) No Treatment

Data Analysis

Accumulated data were cross-checked manually, keypunched, verified and transferred to tape. A variety of programs were developed to yield contingency tables. Contingency tables were examined for the presence or absence of trends indicating possible differences in the number and severity of leg-associated injuries by type of cleat alone and by type of shoe, cleat and ankle treatment.

A discussion of the decision to reject statistical treatments in the first year of the study appears in the following sections.

Second Year - 1968-69

The major objectives of the second year of the study were (1) the determination of the relative effect of type of shoe (high, low), type of cleat (conventional, disc, shortie), and type of ankle support (wrap, tape or none) on the number and degree of severity of football injuries to the lower extremity, and (2) the effect of other selected experimental variables (conditioning, experience in sports, condition of field, position of player, and previous history of severe injury) on injuries to the lower extremities.

Subjects

A population of 17,856 varsity high school football players was examined during 1968-69, the second year of the study. This population represented over 90% of such players in the State of New York and included most participants in all of the organized public and private school leagues in the State.

Treatment

The major treatment variables were the shoes, cleats and ankle supports worn by varsity high school football players during the course of the 1968 season. Subsidiary variables related either to the players or the conditions of the game of football, namely, conditioning, experience in sports, condition of field, position of player, activity (at time of injury) and previous history of serious injury to the lower extremities were also examined for relationship to the number and severity of injuries received during the course of play.

Instruments

The basic data gathering instrument employed in the first year of the study was modified and distributed to coaches and athletic directors during the late Spring and Summer of 1968. In addition, information packets were distributed and orientation sessions were held in each of the sections of the State in order to acquaint key personnel with the conditions of the study, research procedures and to discuss individual responsibilities.

The following types of information were gathered:

- a. Experimental variables
 - 1. Conditioning
 - 2. Experience in sports
 - 3. Condition of field
 - 4. Position of player
 - 5. Severity of injury, including type and extent
- b. Basic information
 - 1. Total number of players on squad by type of shoe equipment
 - 2. Total Number of injuries to the lower extremities by type of shoe equipment worn
 - 3. Name of player injured
 - 4. Date of first practice session
 - 5. Date of injury
 - 6. Notation of previous severe injury
 - 7. Activity when injury occurred (contact - non-contact)

Conditioning was measured on a two-point scale: participation by the individual (and his squad) in the experimental pre-season 5-10-5 conditioning program or participation in the normal program of physical conditioning which cannot begin until September first of any season.

Experience in Football was measured in terms of years of experience on school-sponsored teams, in grades 9-12.

Condition of Field was measured on a five-point, descriptive scale: soft soil (skin surface); hard soil (skin surface); short grass and/or thin grass; long grass and/or thick grass; Astroturf, Tartan turf or other man-made surface. This scale was established with the aid of specialists in Agronomy at the State University, technicians on the staff of the Suffolk County Agricultural Extension Service and head groundskeepers. A panel of football coaches and athletic directors were asked to review and comment upon the tentative scale. No modifications were suggested.

A committee of football coaches was empaneled to determine the most meaningful classification of players, by position. As a result of analyzing the unique requirements and exposures of participants, a six-position scale was established: offensive end; offensive interior line; offensive back; defensive end; defensive interior line; defensive back.

Injury classifications by area, type and degree of severity were developed by the physician-members of the Committee on the Medical Aspects of Sports of the New York State Medical Society. Nine area classifications were established: hip; groin; upper leg; knee; patella; meniscus; lower leg; ankle; foot. Five classifications for type of injury were developed: fracture; sprain; contusion; bone chip; internal (including dislocation, separation and rupture). A four-point scale of seriousness of injury was developed: 1-7 days removed from participation by physician; 8-14 days restriction; 15-30 days removal; 31 or more days restriction. The number of days removed from football participation was considered to be objective given the conditions of this study.

During the Fall and Winter of 1968 the Athletic Association completed a study of the reliability of judgments obtained in diagnosing the severity of injury through the instrument developed for the purpose of gathering those and other data. These judgments were found to be of high reliability. It must be stated clearly, however, that the study of rater (judgmental) reliability had to be undertaken with a high degree of discretion and with several operative constraints.

First, it was not possible to assign independent physicians to (re)diagnose the type and severity of injuries certified by official team doctors. Early discussions of this type of reliability testing quickly raised questions of professional ethics on the part of participating and consulting physicians. Second, the type of judgment reliability testing required in this phase of the study did not lend itself to the statistical techniques commonly employed in determining the reliability and validity of

educational and psychological tests. (See, for example, the discussion in Levin, J. and Ahmann, Statistical Methods in Educational and Psychological Research.)

All other data gathered during the course of the study were subjected to tests of reliability of varying types. For example:

- (a) schools reporting participation in the experimental, extended physical conditioning program were checked against lists of schools approved for such participation by the State Education Department;
- (b) reported data on experience (participation) in football in grades 9 through 12 were checked (on a sample basis) against individual student permanent records in 5% of the cases reported;
- (c) a strong attempt to standardize and make reliable the reporting of field condition data was undertaken during the orientation meetings held in each Section during the pre-season period. Several pieces of descriptive literature were mailed via the NYSPHSAA "Spot News" during the course of the football season;
- (d) a 10% sample of reported positions of injured players was checked against team rosters. Furthermore, a fair cross-check was built into the data gathering instrument (and was related to the nature of the game). For example, since 99+% of players tackled are ball carriers and since backs and ends are the principal ball carriers, reporting errors (or seeming errors) were easily visible and subject to telephone verification;
- (e) the data gathering instrument was designed to provide cross-checks on the reliability of the numerical data reported (for example, the data of injury and the date of medical approval to return should verify the degree of severity in days);
- (f) several teams in each Section were spot-checked during the course of the season to verify the distribution of cleats, types of shoes and types of ankle treatments worn by players; and
- (g) a 10% sample of previous injury notations were checked against school health and/or athletic department records.

It was determined that the data reported to the research team and included in the study were reliable to an extremely high degree. When the data were transferred to punched cards, mechanical and visual verification procedures were employed to insure reliability.

DATA ANALYSIS

Accumulated data were cross-checked manually, keypunched, verified and transferred to tape. A variety of computer programs were developed to (1) yield contingency tables for purposes of data inspection, (2) conduct an arcsine transformation on the data (players by equipment combinations worn) prior to testing the injury rate for significant departures from the population mean, and (3) conduct a stepwise multiple regression analysis of all leg injuries, all knee injuries and all ankle injuries for such variables (in order) as history or prior severe injury, number of games played, cleat, shoe, support, activity at of injury, position of player, conditioning, experience in sports.

RESULTS

First Year - 1967-68

Table 1 presents data on (a) the distribution of three types of cleat among the 17,777 players reported and (b) the occurrence of leg injuries to these players. In addition, Tables 2 through 5 present distributions of the number and percentage of leg injuries by degree of seriousness and type of cleat.

From a purely statistical point of view, the next step was the application of a Chi Square analysis of the data in Tables 1 through 5. Employing standard techniques for such analyses (see, for example, Wert, Neidt and Ahmann, Statistical Methods in Educational and Psychological Research or Edwards, Statistical Methods for the Behavioral Sciences), significant differences between expected and observed frequencies were found in Tables 1 and 2. In both instances, the contribution of the "shortie" cleats to the number of injuries defied chance (in Table 1 the difference could be attributable to chance less than once in one thousand times; in Table 2 less than 5 times in one hundred). Nonetheless, under present circumstances, Chi Square was unacceptable as a statistical measure. The reasons for such rejection are obvious but will be covered in the next section (discussion).

Tables 6 through 9 present data on the distribution of the number of injuries by degree of seriousness among players wearing different equipment combinations (shoe, cleat, ankle treatment). Table 10 presents the distribution, by percentage, of the most serious total leg injuries among players wearing different equipment combinations. A trend showing the fewest serious leg injuries occurring among players wearing the low shoe, disc heel and either tape or wrapping (called treatment) on their ankles is obvious. This trend is equally visible when "total leg" is broken down into "knee," "ankle," and "other" areas of the leg. Table 10 summarizes and highlights previous tables.

TABLE 1

1967 NYSPHSAA Football Study
Number and % of Leg Injuries by Type of Cleat

| Type of Heel | Players | | Total Leg Injuries | | Knee Injuries | | Ankle Injuries | | Other Leg Injuries | |
|--------------|---------------|--------------|--------------------|--------------|---------------|--------------|----------------|--------------|--------------------|--------------|
| | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % |
| Conventional | 12,083 | 68.0 | 1,798 | 65.9 | 687 | 66.4 | 609 | 64.5 | 502 | 65.6 |
| Disc | 5,034 | 28.3 | 795 | 29.1 | 304 | 29.4 | 276 | 30.7 | 215 | 28.1 |
| Shortie | 660 | 3.7 | 137 | 5.0 | 44 | 4.2 | 45 | 4.8 | 48 | 6.3 |
| TOTAL | 17,777 | 100.0 | 2,730 | 100.0 | 1,035 | 100.0 | 930 | 100.0 | 765 | 100.0 |

TABLE 2

**1967 NYSPHSAA Football Study
Number and % of Total Leg Injuries by Heel and Degree of Seriousness**

| Seriousness | <u>CONVENTIONAL</u> | | <u>DISC</u> | | <u>SHORTIE</u> | |
|--------------|---------------------|--------------|--------------------|--------------|--------------------|--------------|
| | Number of Injuries | % | Number of Injuries | % | Number of Injuries | % |
| 1 - 7 Days | 852 | 47.9 | 399 | 50.0 | 80 | 59.6 |
| 8 - 14 Days | 344 | 19.3 | 165 | 20.7 | 13 | 9.7 |
| 15 - 30 Days | 209 | 11.8 | 82 | 10.3 | 19 | 14.2 |
| 30+ Days | 374 | 21.0 | 152 | 19.0 | 22 | 16.5 |
| TOTAL | 1,779 | 100.0 | 798 | 100.0 | 134 | 100.0 |

TABLE 3

**1967 NYSPHSAA Football Study
Number and % of Knee Injuries by Heel and Degree of Seriousness**

| Seriousness | <u>CONVENTIONAL</u> | | <u>DISC</u> | | <u>SHORTIE</u> | |
|--------------|---------------------|--------------|--------------------|--------------|--------------------|--------------|
| | Number of Injuries | % | Number of Injuries | % | Number of Injuries | % |
| 1 - 7 Days | 261 | 37.9 | 119 | 39.2 | 23 | 52.2 |
| 8 - 14 Days | 159 | 23.2 | 67 | 22.0 | 3 | 6.8 |
| 15 - 30 Days | 84 | 12.2 | 41 | 13.5 | 9 | 20.5 |
| 30+ Days | 183 | 26.7 | 77 | 25.3 | 9 | 20.5 |
| TOTAL | 687 | 100.0 | 304 | 100.0 | 44 | 100.0 |

TABLE 4

1967 NYSPHSAA Football Study
Number and % of Ankle Injuries by Heel and Degree of Seriousness

| Seriousness | <u>CONVENTIONAL</u> | | <u>DISC</u> | | <u>SHORTIE</u> | |
|--------------|-----------------------|-------|-----------------------|-------|-----------------------|-------|
| | Number of Injuries | % | Number of Injuries | % | Number of Injuries | % |
| 1 - 7 Days | 326 | 53.5 | 145 | 52.5 | 33 | 73.4 |
| 8 - 14 Days | 117 | 19.2 | 66 | 23.9 | 7 | 15.5 |
| 15 - 30 Days | 74 | 12.2 | 27 | 9.8 | 4 | 8.9 |
| 30+ Days | 92 | 15.1 | 38 | 13.8 | 1 | 2.2 |
| TOTAL | 609 | 100.0 | 276 | 100.0 | 45 | 100.0 |

TABLE 5

1967 NYSPHSAA Football Study
Number and % of All Other Leg Injuries by Heel and Degree of Seriousness

| Seriousness | <u>CONVENTIONAL</u> | | <u>DISC</u> | | <u>SHORTIE</u> | |
|--------------|---------------------|-------|--------------------|-------|--------------------|-------|
| | Number of Injuries | % | Number of Injuries | % | Number of Injuries | % |
| 1 - 7 Days | 265 | 54.9 | 135 | 61.9 | 24 | 53.3 |
| 8 - 14 Days | 68 | 14.1 | 32 | 14.7 | 3 | 6.7 |
| 15 - 30 Days | 51 | 10.6 | 14 | 6.4 | 6 | 13.4 |
| 30+ Days | 99 | 20.4 | 37 | 17.0 | 12 | 26.6 |
| TOTAL | 483 | 100.0 | 218 | 100.0 | 45 | 100.0 |

TABLE 6

Total Leg Injuries
Distribution by Degree of Seriousness and Equipment Worn
1967 NYSPHSAA Football Study

| (HEEL - SHOE - SUPPORT) | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------|--------------|------------|-------|-----------|-------|------|-------|------|-------|
| Type Cleats | Participants | HIGH | | LOW | | HIGH | | LOW | |
| CONVENTIONAL HEEL | 12,083 | SHOE → | | FACTORS → | | Tape | | Tape | |
| | | No Support | | Wraps | | HIGH | | LOW | |
| DEGREE 1-7 | | 217 | 46.07 | 185 | 50.68 | 102 | 46.15 | 112 | 48.70 |
| 8-14 | | 90 | 19.11 | 72 | 19.73 | 55 | 24.89 | 38 | 16.52 |
| 15-30 | | 63 | 13.38 | 38 | 10.41 | 22 | 9.95 | 27 | 11.74 |
| ✓ 31 Plus | | 101 | 21.44 | 70 | 19.81 | 42 | 19.00 | 53 | 23.04 |
| TOTAL INJURIES | | 471 | | 365 | | 221 | | 230 | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| Type Cleats | Participants | HIGH | | LOW | | HIGH | | LOW | |
| DISC HEEL | 5,034 | SHOE → | | FACTORS → | | Tape | | Tape | |
| | | No Support | | Wraps | | HIGH | | LOW | |
| DEGREE 1-7 | | 74 | 44.85 | 88 | 50.29 | 40 | 45.93 | 59 | 55.66 |
| 8-14 | | 37 | 22.42 | 34 | 19.43 | 15 | 17.24 | 25 | 23.59 |
| 15-30 | | 17 | 10.30 | 23 | 13.14 | 8 | 9.19 | 10 | 9.43 |
| ✓ 31 Plus | | 37 | 22.43 | 30 | 17.14 | 24 | 27.59 | 12 | 11.32 |
| TOTAL INJURIES | | 165 | | 175 | | 87 | | 106 | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| Type Cleats | Participants | HIGH | | LOW | | HIGH | | LOW | |
| SHORTIE HEEL | 660 | SHOE → | | FACTORS → | | Tape | | Tape | |
| | | No Support | | Wraps | | HIGH | | LOW | |
| DEGREE 1-7 | | 7 | 58.33 | 32 | 56.14 | 12 | 75.00 | 9 | 50.00 |
| 8-14 | | 0 | .00 | 5 | 8.77 | 0 | .00 | 4 | 22.22 |
| 15-30 | | 3 | 25.00 | 9 | 15.79 | 3 | 18.75 | 2 | 11.11 |
| ✓ 31 Plus | | 2 | 16.67 | 11 | 19.30 | 1 | 6.25 | 3 | 16.67 |
| TOTAL INJURIES | | 12 | | 57 | | 16 | | 18 | |

TABLE 7
Knee Injuries

Distribution by Degree of Seriousness and Equipment Worn
1967 NYSPHSAA Football Study

(HEEL - SHOE - SUPPORT)

| Type Cleats | | Participants | | Type Cleats | | Participants | | Type Cleats | | Participants | | Type Cleats | | Participants | |
|----------------|-------|----------------|-------|----------------|-------|----------------|-------|----------------|--------|----------------|-------|----------------|-------|----------------|-------|
| CONVENTIONAL | | HEEL | | HEEL | | HEEL | | HEEL | | HEEL | | HEEL | | HEEL | |
| SHOE | | FACTORS | | FACTORS | | FACTORS | | FACTORS | | FACTORS | | FACTORS | | FACTORS | |
| DEGREE 1-7 | | 8-14 | | 15-30 | | 31 Plus | | DEGREE 1-7 | | 8-14 | | 15-30 | | 31 Plus | |
| TOTAL INJURIES | | TOTAL INJURIES | | TOTAL INJURIES | | TOTAL INJURIES | | TOTAL INJURIES | | TOTAL INJURIES | | TOTAL INJURIES | | TOTAL INJURIES | |
| HIGH | | LOW | | HIGH | | LOW | | HIGH | | LOW | | HIGH | | LOW | |
| No Support | | Wraps | | Tape | | No Support | | Wraps | | Tape | | No Support | | Wraps | |
| 71 | 38.38 | 50 | 40.32 | 32 | 35.16 | 38 | 41.76 | 23 | 37.71 | 42 | 35.30 | 91 | 23.08 | 27 | 29.67 |
| 46 | 24.86 | 30 | 24.19 | 27 | 29.67 | 14 | 15.38 | 12 | 19.67 | 28 | 23.53 | 91 | 23.08 | 27 | 29.67 |
| 23 | 12.43 | 18 | 14.52 | 11 | 12.09 | 12 | 13.19 | 8 | 13.11 | 8 | 6.72 | 91 | 23.08 | 27 | 29.67 |
| 45 | 24.33 | 26 | 20.97 | 21 | 23.08 | 27 | 29.67 | 18 | 29.51 | 41 | 34.45 | 91 | 23.08 | 27 | 29.67 |
| 185 | | 124 | | 91 | | 91 | | 61 | | 119 | | 91 | | 91 | |
| Type Cleats | | Participants | | Type Cleats | | Participants | | Type Cleats | | Participants | | Type Cleats | | Participants | |
| DISC HEELS | | 5,034 | | DISC HEELS | | 5,034 | | DISC HEELS | | 5,034 | | DISC HEELS | | 5,034 | |
| SHOE | | FACTORS | | FACTORS | | FACTORS | | FACTORS | | FACTORS | | FACTORS | | FACTORS | |
| DEGREE 1-7 | | 8-14 | | 15-30 | | 31 Plus | | DEGREE 1-7 | | 8-14 | | 15-30 | | 31 Plus | |
| TOTAL INJURIES | | TOTAL INJURIES | | TOTAL INJURIES | | TOTAL INJURIES | | TOTAL INJURIES | | TOTAL INJURIES | | TOTAL INJURIES | | TOTAL INJURIES | |
| 28 | 44.44 | 28 | 37.84 | 13 | 33.33 | 15 | 39.47 | 10 | 35.71 | 22 | 39.29 | 39 | 33.33 | 15 | 39.47 |
| 10 | 15.88 | 16 | 21.62 | 9 | 23.08 | 12 | 31.58 | 4 | 14.29 | 15 | 26.78 | 39 | 33.33 | 15 | 39.47 |
| 8 | 12.70 | 12 | 16.22 | 3 | 7.69 | 5 | 13.16 | 5 | 17.86 | 8 | 14.29 | 39 | 33.33 | 15 | 39.47 |
| 17 | 26.98 | 18 | 24.32 | 14 | 35.90 | 6 | 15.79 | 9 | 32.14 | 11 | 19.64 | 39 | 33.33 | 15 | 39.47 |
| 63 | | 74 | | 39 | | 38 | | 28 | | 56 | | 39 | | 38 | |
| Type Cleats | | Participants | | Type Cleats | | Participants | | Type Cleats | | Participants | | Type Cleats | | Participants | |
| SHORTIE HEEL | | 660 | | SHORTIE HEEL | | 660 | | SHORTIE HEEL | | 660 | | SHORTIE HEEL | | 660 | |
| SHOE | | FACTORS | | FACTORS | | FACTORS | | FACTORS | | FACTORS | | FACTORS | | FACTORS | |
| DEGREE 1-7 | | 8-14 | | 15-30 | | 31 Plus | | DEGREE 1-7 | | 8-14 | | 15-30 | | 31 Plus | |
| TOTAL INJURIES | | TOTAL INJURIES | | TOTAL INJURIES | | TOTAL INJURIES | | TOTAL INJURIES | | TOTAL INJURIES | | TOTAL INJURIES | | TOTAL INJURIES | |
| 3 | 50.00 | 6 | 40.00 | 6 | 66.67 | 2 | 40.00 | 0 | .00 | 5 | 83.33 | 9 | 11.11 | 2 | 40.00 |
| 0 | .00 | 2 | 13.33 | 0 | .00 | 1 | 20.00 | 0 | .00 | 0 | .00 | 9 | 11.11 | 2 | 40.00 |
| 2 | 33.33 | 4 | 26.67 | 2 | 22.22 | 0 | .00 | 1 | 100.00 | 0 | .00 | 9 | 11.11 | 2 | 40.00 |
| 1 | 16.67 | 3 | 20.00 | 1 | 11.11 | 2 | 40.00 | 0 | .00 | 1 | 16.67 | 9 | 11.11 | 2 | 40.00 |
| 6 | | 15 | | 9 | | 5 | | 1 | | 6 | | 9 | | 5 | |

TABLE 8
Ankle Injuries
Distribution by Degree of Seriousness and Equipment Worn
1967 NYSPHSAA Football Study

(HEEL - SHOE - SUPPORT)

| Type Cleats CONVENTIONAL HEEL | Participants 12,083 | SHOE | | | FACTORS | | | DEGREE | | | TOTAL INJURIES | | |
|-------------------------------------|------------------------|------|-------|------------|---------|-----|-------|--------|-------|------|----------------|-----|-------|
| | | SHOE | | | FACTORS | | | DEGREE | | | TOTAL INJURIES | | |
| | | HIGH | LOW | No Support | HIGH | LOW | Wraps | HIGH | LOW | Tape | HIGH | LOW | Tape |
| | | 77 | 47.23 | 79 | 58.52 | 28 | 45.16 | 43 | 58.90 | 25 | 58.14 | 73 | 56.59 |
| | 8-14 | 29 | 17.79 | 22 | 16.30 | 18 | 29.03 | 13 | 17.81 | 10 | 23.25 | 25 | 19.38 |
| | 15-30 | 28 | 17.18 | 12 | 8.88 | 7 | 11.29 | 5 | 6.85 | 3 | 6.98 | 18 | 13.95 |
| | 31 Plus | 29 | 17.79 | 22 | 16.30 | 9 | 14.52 | 12 | 16.44 | 5 | 11.63 | 13 | 10.08 |
| | | 163 | | 135 | | 62 | | 73 | | 43 | | 129 | |

| Type Cleats DISC HEEL | Participants 5,034 | SHOE | | | FACTORS | | | DEGREE | | | TOTAL INJURIES | | |
|--------------------------|-----------------------|------|-------|------------|---------|-----|-------|--------|-------|------|----------------|-----|-------|
| | | SHOE | | | FACTORS | | | DEGREE | | | TOTAL INJURIES | | |
| | | HIGH | LOW | No Support | HIGH | LOW | Wraps | HIGH | LOW | Tape | HIGH | LOW | Tape |
| | | 16 | 33.33 | 30 | 53.57 | 14 | 56.00 | 26 | 61.91 | 20 | 54.05 | 38 | 60.31 |
| | 8-14 | 19 | 39.35 | 10 | 17.86 | 2 | 8.00 | 8 | 19.05 | 11 | 29.73 | 15 | 23.81 |
| | 15-30 | 5 | 10.42 | 8 | 14.28 | 3 | 12.00 | 4 | 9.52 | 2 | 5.41 | 5 | 7.49 |
| | 31 Plus | 8 | 16.67 | 8 | 14.28 | 6 | 24.00 | 4 | 9.52 | 4 | 10.81 | 5 | 7.49 |
| | | 48 | | 56 | | 25 | | 42 | | 37 | | 63 | |

| Type Cleats SHORTIE HEEL | Participants 660 | SHOE | | | FACTORS | | | DEGREE | | | TOTAL INJURIES | | |
|-----------------------------|---------------------|------|-------|------------|---------|-----|--------|--------|-------|------|----------------|-----|-------|
| | | SHOE | | | FACTORS | | | DEGREE | | | TOTAL INJURIES | | |
| | | HIGH | LOW | No Support | HIGH | LOW | Wraps | HIGH | LOW | Tape | HIGH | LOW | Tape |
| | | 4 | 80.00 | 12 | 70.59 | 4 | 100.00 | 4 | 57.14 | 1 | 100.00 | 7 | 77.78 |
| | 8-14 | 0 | .00 | 2 | 11.76 | 0 | .00 | 2 | 28.57 | 0 | .00 | 2 | 22.22 |
| | 15-30 | 1 | 20.00 | 2 | 11.76 | 0 | .00 | 1 | 14.29 | 0 | .00 | 0 | .00 |
| | 31 Plus | 0 | .00 | 1 | 5.85 | 0 | .00 | 0 | .00 | 0 | .00 | 0 | .00 |
| | | 5 | | 17 | | 4 | | 7 | | 1 | | 9 | |

TABLE 9

"Other" Leg Injuries
Distribution by Degree of Seriousness and Equipment Worn
1967 NYSPHSAA Football Study

(HEEL - SHOE - SUPPORT)

| Type Cleats | | Partici- | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------------|--|----------|------|------------|------|-----|------|-------|------|-----|------|------|------|-----|--|
| CONVENTIONAL | | pants | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| HEEL | | 12,083 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| SHOE | | → | | HIGH | | LOW | | HIGH | | LOW | | HIGH | | LOW | |
| FACTORS | | → | | No Support | | | | Wraps | | | | Tape | | | |
| DEGREE 1-7 | | 69 | 56.1 | 56 | 52.8 | 42 | 61.8 | 31 | 47.0 | 21 | 52.5 | 41 | 56.2 | | |
| 8-14 | | 15 | 12.2 | 20 | 18.9 | 10 | 14.7 | 11 | 16.7 | 5 | 12.5 | 7 | 9.6 | | |
| 15-30 | | 12 | 9.8 | 8 | 7.5 | 4 | 5.9 | 10 | 15.2 | 4 | 10.0 | 13 | 17.8 | | |
| 31 Plus | | 27 | 21.9 | 22 | 20.8 | 12 | 17.6 | 14 | 22.1 | 10 | 25.0 | 12 | 16.4 | | |
| TOTAL INJURIES | | 123 | | 106 | | 68 | | 66 | | 40 | | 73 | | | |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------|--|-------|------|------------|------|-------|------|------|------|-----|------|------|------|-----|--|
| Type Cleats Participants | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| DISC HEEL | | 5,034 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| SHOE | | → | | HIGH | | LOW | | HIGH | | LOW | | HIGH | | LOW | |
| FACTORS | | → | | No Support | | Wraps | | Tape | | | | | | | |
| DEGREE 1-7 | | 30 | 55.6 | 30 | 66.7 | 13 | 56.5 | 18 | 69.2 | 13 | 65.0 | 29 | 68.2 | | |
| 8-14 | | 8 | 14.8 | 8 | 17.8 | 4 | 17.4 | 5 | 19.2 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 15.9 | | |
| 15-30 | | 4 | 7.4 | 3 | 6.7 | 2 | 8.7 | 1 | 3.8 | 1 | 5.0 | 3 | 6.8 | | |
| 31 Plus | | 12 | 22.2 | 4 | 8.8 | 4 | 17.4 | 2 | 7.8 | 6 | 30.0 | 5 | 9.1 | | |
| TOTAL INJURIES | | 54 | | 45 | | 23 | | 26 | | 20 | | 44 | | | |

| Type Cleats | | Participants | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------------|--|--------------|--------|------------|------|-----|------|-------|------|-----|-------|---|------|
| SHORTIE HEEL | | 660 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| SHOE | | → | | HIGH | | LOW | | HIGH | | LOW | | | |
| FACTORS | | → | | No Support | | | | Wraps | | | | | |
| DEGREE 1-7 | | 0 | 0. | 14 | 56.0 | 2 | 66.7 | 3 | 50.0 | 0 | 0. | 4 | 57.1 |
| 8-14 | | 0 | 0. | 1 | 4.0 | 0 | 0. | 1 | 16.7 | 0 | 0. | 1 | 14.3 |
| 15-30 | | 0 | 0. | 3 | 12.0 | 1 | 33.3 | 1 | 16.7 | 0 | 0. | 0 | 0. |
| 31 Plus | | 1 | 100.00 | 7 | 28.0 | 0 | 0. | 1 | 16.7 | 1 | 100.0 | 2 | 28.6 |
| TOTAL INJURIES | | 1 | | 25 | | 3 | | 6 | | 1 | | 7 | |

TABLE 10

Serious (31+) Leg Injuries
Distribution by Area, and Equipment Worn
1967 NYSPHSAA Football Study

| THE AREA | TYPE SHOE | TYPE HEEL CLEAT | NO ANKLE SUPPORT | WEARING ANKLE WRAPS | WEARING ANKLE TAPE |
|----------------------------------|-----------|-----------------|------------------|---------------------|--------------------|
| (1) THE TOTAL LEG | HIGH | CONV. | 21.44 | 19.0 | 22.91 |
| | LOW | CONV. | 19.18 | 23.04 | 20.56 |
| | HIGH | DISC | 22.43 | 27.59 | 22.35 |
| | LOW | DISC | 17.14 | 11.32 | 12.88 |
| (2) KNEE | HIGH | CONV. | 24.33 | 23.08 | 29.51 |
| | LOW | CONV. | 20.97 | 29.67 | 34.45 |
| | HIGH | DISC | 26.98 | 35.90 | 32.14 |
| | LOW | DISC | 24.32 | 15.79 | 19.64 |
| (3) ANKLE | HIGH | CONV. | 17.79 | 14.52 | 11.63 |
| | LOW | CONV. | 16.30 | 16.44 | 10.08 |
| | HIGH | DISC | 16.67 | 24.00 | 10.81 |
| | LOW | DISC | 14.28 | 9.52 | 7.94 |
| (4) OTHER AREAS OF THE LEG | HIGH | CONV. | 21.9 | 17.6 | 25.0 |
| | LOW | CONV. | 20.8 | 22.1 | 16.4 |
| | HIGH | DISC | 22.2 | 17.4 | 30.0 |
| | LOW | DISC | 8.8 | 7.8 | 9.1 |

Best results at 31 Plus Level achieved with the combination of:

Low shoe, Disc type heel cleat, ankles taped or wrapped.

Second Year - 1968-1969

For the basic comparisons of injury rate with exposure (to injury), the exposure was defined as the proportion of varsity high school football players in the state who were wearing the equipment combinations being examined. This exposure was considered as a population mean to which the proportion of injuries in that equipment category was compared. Since the proportions were generally less than .10, an arcsine transformation was employed on the data prior to testing the injury rate for significant departures from the population mean.

The following assumptions were made in applying the significance tests to the data:

1. The sample size was equal to the number of injuries in the population of players;
2. The proportion of injuries in each equipment category was assumed to be randomly distributed about the population mean (exposure in the equipment category); and
3. If the proportion of injuries in the equipment category was more than 1.96 standard errors above or below the mean proportion, it was considered a significant departure.

This comparison was made for (1) all leg injuries for eighteen shoe-cleat-support categories, (2) all leg injuries for three cleat categories, (3) all knee injuries for eighteen shoe-cleat-support categories, (4) all knee injuries for three cleat categories, (5) serious (31+ day) knee injuries for eighteen shoe-cleat-support categories, (6) serious (31+ day) knee injuries for three cleat categories, (7) serious (31+ day) knee injuries for eighteen shoe-cleat-player position categories, (8) serious (31+ day) knee injuries for nine cleat-player position categories.

In addition, the proportion of players with a history of previous severe knee injury (31+ day) was compared with the population proportion in each of eighteen equipment categories and in each of eighteen shoe-cleat-player position categories. The intent was to determine selective usage of equipment with football players having a history of prior severe knee injury.

Table 11
1968 NYSPHSAA Football Study
Total Leg Injuries by Shoe-Cleat-Support

| | | <u>High Shoe</u> | | | | <u>Low Shoe</u> | | | |
|------------------------|-------------------|------------------|--------|------|------|-----------------|------|------|------|
| | | N | p | Ø | Sig. | N | p | Ø | Sig. |
| Conventional Cleats | Wrap - Exposure - | 1,527 | .086 | .591 | *(+) | 1,794 | .100 | .644 | *(-) |
| | Injuries - | 201 | .068 | .535 | | 297 | .102 | .644 | |
| | Tape - Exposure - | 989 | .055 | .495 | *(+) | 1,620 | .091 | .609 | |
| | Injuries - | 129 | .044 | .423 | | 329 | .113 | .676 | |
| | None - Exposure - | 2,630 | .147 | .795 | *(+) | 2,366 | .132 | .738 | |
| | Injuries - | 331 | .113 | .676 | | 442 | .151 | .795 | |
| Disc Heel | Wrap - Exposure - | 569 | .031 | .354 | *(+) | 1,018 | .057 | .495 | *(-) |
| | Injuries - | 73 | .025 | .318 | | 137 | .047 | .437 | |
| | Tape - Exposure - | 373 | .021 | .291 | | 1,078 | .060 | .495 | |
| | Injuries - | 60 | .020 | .284 | | 228 | .078 | .574 | |
| | None - Exposure - | 1,076 | .060 | .495 | | 1,203 | .067 | .534 | |
| | Injuries - | 179 | .061 | .495 | | 221 | .076 | .534 | |
| Shortie Cleats | Wrap - Exposure - | 104 | .006 | .155 | *(-) | 272 | .015 | .246 | |
| | Injuries - | 22 | .008 | .179 | | 56 | .019 | .277 | |
| | Tape - Exposure - | 49 | .003 | .090 | | 355 | .020 | .284 | |
| | Injuries - | 20 | .007 | .168 | | 56 | .019 | .277 | |
| | None - Exposure - | 192 | .011 | .210 | | 641 | .036 | .382 | |
| | Injuries - | 20 | .007 | .168 | | 116 | .040 | .402 | |
| TOTAL | | Exposure - | 17,856 | | | | | | |
| | | Injuries | 2,917 | | | | | | |

* Critical Difference = .036

TABLE 12
1968 NYSPHSAA Football Study
Total Leg Injuries by Cleat

| | | N | p | Ø | Sig. |
|------------------------|------------|------------------|-------|--------|------|
| Conventional Cleats | - Exposure | 10, 926 | . 612 | 1. 793 | *(+) |
| | - Injuries | 1, 729 | . 593 | 1. 751 | |
| Disc Heel | - Exposure | 5, 317 | . 298 | 1. 159 | |
| | - Injuries | 898 | . 308 | 1. 181 | |
| Shortie Cleats | - Exposure | 1, 613 | . 090 | . 609 | |
| | - Injuries | 290 | . 101 | . 643 | |
| TOTAL | | Exposure 17, 856 | | | |
| | | Injuries 2, 917 | | | |

* Critical Difference = .036

TABLE 13
1968 NYSPHSAA Football Study
Knee Injuries by Shoe-Cleat-Support

| | | <u>High Shoe</u> | | | | <u>Low Shoe</u> | | | |
|------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|------|------|------|-----------------|------|------|------|
| | | N | p | Ø | Sig. | N | p | Ø | Sig. |
| Conventional Cleats | Wrap - Exposure - | 1,527 | .086 | .591 | | 1,794 | .100 | .644 | |
| | Injuries - | 45 | .039 | .398 | *(+) | 100 | .087 | .609 | |
| | Tape - Exposure - | 989 | .055 | .495 | | 1,620 | .091 | .609 | |
| | Injuries - | 80 | .069 | .535 | | 153 | .132 | .738 | |
| | None - Exposure - | 2,630 | .147 | .795 | | 2,366 | .132 | .738 | |
| | Injuries - | 139 | .120 | .708 | *(+) | 164 | .141 | .767 | |
| Disc Heel | Wrap - Exposure - | 569 | .031 | .354 | | 1,018 | .057 | .495 | |
| | Injuries - | 43 | .037 | .387 | | 52 | .045 | .428 | *(+) |
| | Tape - Exposure - | 373 | .021 | .291 | | 1,078 | .060 | .495 | |
| | Injuries - | 29 | .025 | .318 | | 85 | .073 | .535 | |
| | None - Exposure - | 1,076 | .060 | .495 | | 1,203 | .067 | .534 | |
| | Injuries - | 78 | .067 | .535 | | 80 | .069 | .535 | |
| Shortie Cleats | Wrap - Exposure - | 104 | .006 | .155 | | 272 | .015 | .246 | |
| | Injuries - | 12 | .010 | .200 | | 26 | .022 | .298 | |
| | Tape - Exposure - | 49 | .003 | .090 | | 355 | .020 | .284 | |
| | Injuries - | 6 | .005 | .142 | | 25 | .022 | .298 | |
| | None - Exposure - | 192 | .011 | .210 | | 641 | .036 | .382 | |
| | Injuries - | 7 | .006 | .155 | | 38 | .033 | .365 | |
| TOTAL | | Exposure - 17,856 | | | | | | | |
| | | Injuries - 1,162 | | | | | | | |

* Critical Difference = .0575

TABLE 14
1968 NYSPHSAA Football Study
Knee Injuries by Cleat

| | | | N | p | Ø | Sig. |
|--------------------------|------------|------------|---------|------|-------|------|
| Conventional Cleats - | Exposure - | | 10, 926 | .612 | 1.793 | |
| | Injuries - | | 681 | .586 | 1.752 | |
| Disc Heel - | Exposure - | | 5, 317 | .298 | 1.159 | |
| | Injuries - | | 367 | .316 | 1.202 | |
| Shortie Cleats - | Exposure - | | 1, 613 | .090 | .609 | |
| | Injuries | | 114 | .098 | .644 | |
| TOTAL | | Exposure - | 17, 856 | | | |
| | | Injuries | 1, 162 | | | |

* Critical Difference = .0575

TABLE 15
1968 NYSPHSAA Football Study
Serious Knee Injuries (31+) by Shoe-Cleat-Support

| | | <u>High Shoe</u> | | | | <u>Low Shoe</u> | | | |
|--------------------------|-------------------|------------------|--------|------|------|-----------------|------|------|------|
| | | N | p | Ø | Sig. | N | p | Ø | Sig. |
| Conventional Cleats - | Wrap - Exposure - | 1,527 | .086 | .591 | | 1,794 | .100 | .644 | |
| | Injuries - | 31 | .094 | .609 | | 32 | .097 | .644 | |
| | Tape - Exposure - | 989 | .055 | .495 | | 1,620 | .091 | .609 | *(-) |
| | Injuries - | 15 | .045 | .428 | | 44 | .134 | .738 | |
| | None - Exposure - | 2,630 | .147 | .795 | | 2,366 | .132 | .738 | |
| | Injuries - | 42 | .128 | .738 | | 46 | .140 | .767 | |
| Disc Heel - | Wrap - Exposure - | 569 | .031 | .354 | | 1,018 | .057 | .495 | *(+) |
| | Injuries - | 11 | .033 | .365 | | 11 | .033 | .365 | |
| | Tape - Exposure - | 373 | .021 | .291 | | 1,078 | .060 | .495 | |
| | Injuries - | 9 | .027 | .330 | | 25 | .076 | .574 | |
| | None - Exposure - | 1,076 | .060 | .495 | | 1,203 | .067 | .534 | |
| | Injuries - | 13 | .040 | .403 | | 27 | .082 | .574 | |
| Shortie Cleats - | Wrap - Exposure - | 104 | .006 | .155 | | 272 | .015 | .246 | |
| | Injuries - | 3 | .009 | .190 | | 5 | .015 | .246 | |
| | Tape - Exposure - | 49 | .003 | .090 | | 355 | .020 | .284 | |
| | Injuries - | 1 | .003 | .110 | | 7 | .021 | .291 | |
| | None - Exposure - | 192 | .011 | .210 | | 641 | .036 | .382 | *(+) |
| | Injuries - | 1 | .003 | .110 | | 5 | .015 | .246 | |
| TOTAL | | Exposure - | 17,856 | | | | | | |
| | | Injuries - | 328 | | | | | | |

* Critical Difference = .108

TABLE 16
1968 NYSPHSAA Football Study
Serious Knee Injuries (31+) by Cleat

| | | | N | p | Ø | Sig. |
|--------------------------|------------|------------|--------|------|-------|------|
| Conventional Cleats - | Exposure - | | 10,926 | .612 | 1.792 | |
| | Injuries - | | 210 | .640 | 1.854 | |
| Disc Heel - | Exposure - | | 5,317 | .298 | 1.159 | |
| | Injuries - | | 96 | .292 | 1.137 | |
| Shortle Cleats - | Exposure - | | 1,613 | .090 | .609 | |
| | Injuries - | | 22 | .067 | .536 | |
| TOTAL | | Exposure - | 17,856 | | | |
| | | Injuries - | 328 | | | |

*** Critical Difference = .108**

TABLE 17
1968 NYSPHSAA Football Study
Serious Knee Injuries (31+) by Shoe-Cleat-Player Position

| | | <u>High Shoe</u> | | | | <u>Low Shoe</u> | | | |
|-------------------------------|---------------|-------------------|------|------|------|-----------------|------|------|------|
| | | N | p | Ø | Sig. | N | p | Ø | Sig. |
| Conven- tional Cleats - | Ends | Exposure - 643 | .036 | .382 | *(-) | 1,245 | .069 | .536 | |
| | Injuries - 20 | .061 | .495 | | | 16 | .049 | .446 | |
| | Linemen | Exposure - 3,826 | .214 | .952 | *(+) | 2,160 | .121 | .708 | |
| | Injuries - 51 | .154 | .795 | | | 41 | .121 | .708 | |
| | Backs | Exposure - 673 | .038 | .392 | | 2,340 | .131 | .738 | *(-) |
| | Injuries - 17 | .055 | .471 | | | 65 | .197 | .927 | |
| | Ends | Exposure - 307 | .017 | .261 | | 715 | .040 | .403 | *(+) |
| | Injuries - 3 | .009 | .190 | | | 7 | .021 | .291 | |
| | Linemen | Exposure - 1,266 | .071 | .536 | | 910 | .051 | .451 | |
| Disc Heels - | Injuries - 21 | .064 | .495 | | | 16 | .049 | .446 | |
| | Backs | Exposure - 447 | .025 | .318 | | 1,716 | .096 | .644 | |
| | Injuries - 9 | .026 | .324 | | | 42 | .127 | .738 | |
| | Ends | Exposure - 35 | .002 | .090 | | 240 | .013 | .229 | |
| | Injuries - 1 | .003 | .110 | | | 6 | .017 | .261 | |
| | Linemen | Exposure - 267 | .015 | .246 | | 485 | .027 | .330 | *(+) |
| | Injuries - 3 | .009 | .190 | | | 3 | .009 | .190 | |
| | Backs | Exposure - 45 | .002 | .090 | | 520 | .029 | .342 | |
| | Injuries - 1 | .003 | .110 | | | 8 | .024 | .311 | |
| Shortie Cleats - | TOTAL | Exposure - 17,840 | | | | | | | |
| | | Injuries - 330 | | | | | | | |

* Critical Difference = .108

TABLE 18
1968 NYSPHSAA Football Study
Serious Knee Injuries (31+) by Cleat-Player Position

| | | | N | p | Ø | Sig. |
|--------------------------|-----------|------------|--------|------|-------|------|
| Conventional Cleats - | Ends - | Exposure - | 1,888 | .106 | .676 | |
| | | Injuries - | 36 | .109 | .676 | |
| | Linemen - | Exposure - | 5,986 | .335 | 1.224 | *(+) |
| | | Injuries - | 92 | .279 | 1.115 | |
| | Backs - | Exposure - | 3,013 | .169 | .823 | *(-) |
| | | Injuries - | 82 | .248 | 1.047 | |
| Disc Heels - | Ends - | Exposure - | 1,022 | .057 | .495 | *(+) |
| | | Injuries - | 10 | .030 | .348 | |
| | Linemen - | Exposure - | 2,176 | .122 | .708 | |
| | | Injuries - | 37 | .112 | .676 | |
| | Backs - | Exposure - | 2,163 | .121 | .708 | |
| | | Injuries - | 51 | .154 | .795 | |
| Shortie Cleats - | Ends - | Exposure - | 275 | .015 | .246 | |
| | | Injuries - | 7 | .021 | .291 | |
| | Linemen - | Exposure - | 752 | .042 | .413 | *(+) |
| | | Injuries - | 6 | .018 | .269 | |
| | Backs - | Exposure - | 565 | .032 | .360 | |
| | | Injuries - | 9 | .027 | .330 | |
| TOTAL | | Exposure - | 17,840 | | | |
| | | Injuries - | 330 | | | |

* Critical Difference = .108

TABLE 19
1968 NYSPHSAA Football Study
Shoe-Cleat-Support of Players with History of Serious Knee
Injury (31+) Compared to Total Player Population

| | | <u>High Shoe</u> | | | | <u>Low Shoe</u> | | | |
|--------------------------|--------|---------------------|------|------|------|-----------------|------|------|------|
| | | N, | p | Ø | Sig. | N | p | Ø | Sig. |
| Conventional Cleats - | Wrap - | Population - 1,527 | .086 | .591 | | 1,794 | .100 | .644 | |
| | | History - 14 | .069 | .535 | | 10 | .049 | .446 | *(+) |
| | Tape - | Population - 989 | .055 | .495 | | 1,620 | .091 | .609 | |
| | | History - 10 | .049 | .446 | | 21 | .103 | .644 | |
| | None - | Population - 2,630 | .147 | .795 | | 2,366 | .132 | .738 | |
| | | History - 18 | .083 | .574 | *(+) | 15 | .073 | .536 | *(+) |
| Disc Heels - | Wrap - | Population - 569 | .031 | .354 | | 1,018 | .057 | .495 | |
| | | History - 9 | .044 | .423 | | 14 | .069 | .535 | |
| | Tape - | Population - 373 | .021 | .291 | | 1,078 | .060 | .495 | |
| | | History - 17 | .083 | .574 | *(-) | 39 | .191 | .902 | *(-) |
| | None - | Population - 1,076 | .060 | .495 | | 1,203 | .067 | .534 | |
| | | History - 9 | .049 | .446 | | 12 | .059 | .494 | |
| Shortie Cleats- | Wrap - | Population - 104 | .006 | .155 | | 272 | .015 | .246 | |
| | | History - 2 | .010 | .200 | | 1 | .005 | .142 | |
| | Tape - | Population - 49 | .003 | .090 | | 355 | .020 | .284 | |
| | | History - 5 | .025 | .318 | *(-) | 3 | .015 | .246 | |
| | None - | Population - 192 | .011 | .210 | | 641 | .036 | .382 | |
| | | History - 1 | .005 | .142 | | 4 | .020 | .284 | |
| TOTAL - | | Population - 17,856 | | | | | | | |
| | | History - 204 | | | | | | | |

* Critical Difference = .137

TABLE 20
1968 NYSPHSAA Football Study
Shoe-Cleat-Position of Players with History of Serious Knee
Injury (31+) Compared to Total Player Population

| | | High Shoe | | | | Low Shoe | | | |
|-------------------------------|-----------|---------------------|------|------|------|----------|------|------|------|
| | | N | p | Ø | Sig. | N | p | Ø | Sig. |
| Conven- tional Cleats - | Ends - | Population - 643 | .036 | .382 | | 1,245 | .069 | .536 | |
| | | History - 8 | .039 | .398 | | 13 | .063 | .515 | |
| | Linemen - | Population - 3,826 | .214 | .952 | *(+) | 2,160 | .121 | .708 | *(+) |
| | | History - 28 | .136 | .752 | | 10 | .058 | .495 | |
| | Backs - | Population - 673 | .038 | .392 | | 2,340 | .131 | .738 | |
| | | History - 6 | .029 | .342 | | 23 | .112 | .676 | |
| Disc Heels - | Ends - | Population - 307 | .017 | .261 | | 715 | .040 | .403 | |
| | | History - 4 | .019 | .277 | | 7 | .033 | .365 | |
| | Linemen - | Population - 1,266 | .071 | .536 | *(-) | 910 | .051 | .451 | *(-) |
| | | History - 27 | .131 | .738 | | 18 | .087 | .609 | |
| | Backs - | Population - 447 | .025 | .381 | | 1,716 | .096 | .644 | |
| | | History - 4 | .019 | .277 | | 40 | .194 | .276 | *(+) |
| Shortie Cleats - | Ends - | Population - 35 | .002 | .090 | | 240 | .013 | .229 | |
| | | History - 2 | .010 | .200 | | 4 | .019 | .277 | |
| | Linemen | Population - 267 | .015 | .246 | | 485 | .027 | .330 | |
| | | History - 4 | .019 | .277 | | 2 | .010 | .200 | |
| | Backs - | Population - 45 | .002 | .090 | | 520 | .029 | .342 | |
| | | History - 2 | .010 | .200 | | 2 | .010 | .200 | *(+) |
| TOTAL | | Population - 17,856 | | | | | | | |
| | | History - 204 | | | | | | | |

* Critical Difference = .137

TABLE 21
1968 NYSPHSAA Football Study
Probability of Injury to the Lower Extremities

| <u>Type of Injury</u> | <u>Population</u> | <u>Number of Injuries</u> | <u>Probability</u> |
|---|-------------------|---------------------------|--------------------|
| <u>Reportable Injury of Lower Extremity</u> (one or more days removed from participation by physician) | 17, 856 | 2, 917 | 16. 4/100 |
| <u>Reportable Knee Injury</u> (one or more days removed from participation by physician) | 17, 856 | 1, 162 | 6. 5/100 |
| <u>Serious Knee Injury</u> (31+ days removed from participation by physician) | | | |
| All Players | 17, 856 | 328 | 1. 8/100 |
| Players with No Previous History | 17, 652 | 274 | 1. 6/100 |
| Players with Previous History | 204 | 54 | 26. 5/100 |

Table 11 presents data on the total number of reportable leg injuries (by type of shoe, cleat and ankle support) sustained by varsity high school football players during the 1968 season. By means of an arcsine transformation these data were compared to the proportion of the total population (exposure) similarly equipped. Significant deviations from expectation were observed as follows:

Fewer injuries than expected - high shoe, conventional cleat, wrap
 high shoe, conventional cleat, tape
 high shoe, conventional cleat, none
 high shoe, disc heel, wrap
 high shoe, shortie cleats, none
 low shoe, disc heel, wrap

More injuries than expected - high shoe, shortie cleats, tape
 low shoe, conventional cleats, tape
 low shoe, conventional cleats, none
 low shoe, disc heel, tape

Table 12 indicates clearly that where cleats alone were considered, players equipped with conventional cleats were injured in the lower extremities at a rate significantly less than expected. Leg injuries sustained by players equipped with disc heels or shortie cleats were at an expected rate when compared to exposures.

Table 13 presents data on the total number of reportable knee injuries (by type of shoe, cleat and ankle support) sustained by players during the 1968 season. Significant deviations from expectation were observed as follows:

Fewer injuries than expected - high shoe, conventional cleats, wrap
 high shoe, conventional cleats, none
 low shoe, disc heel, wrap

Table 14 indicates that when cleats alone were considered, all players sustained reportable knee injuries at a rate consonant with exposure.

Table 15 presents data on the total number of serious (31 or more days removed from participation by a physician) knee injuries (by type of shoe, cleat and ankle support) sustained by varsity high school football players during the 1968 season. By means of an arcsine transformation these data were compared to the proportion of the total population (exposure) similarly equipped. Significant deviations from expectation were observed as follows:

Fewer injuries than expected - low shoe, disc heel, wrap
 low shoe, shortie cleats, none

More injuries than expected - low shoe, conventional cleats, tape

Table 16 indicates that where cleats alone were considered, all players sustained serious knee injuries at a rate consonant with exposure.

Table 17 presents data on the total number of serious knee injuries (by type of shoe, cleat and player position) sustained by varsity high school football players during the 1968 season. By means of an arcsine transformation these data were compared to the proportion of the total population (exposure) similarly equipped and assigned. Significant deviations from expectation were observed as follows:

Fewer injuries than expected - high shoe, conventional cleats
(linemen)
low shoe, disc heel (ends)
low shoe, shortie cleats (lineman)

More injuries than expected - high shoes, conventional cleats
(ends)
low shoes, conventional cleats
(backs)

Table 18 indicates that when cleats assigned by player position were considered (excluding shoe and ankle treatment), significant deviations from expectation were observed as follows:

Fewer injuries than expected - conventional cleats (linemen)
disc heels (ends)
shortie cleats (linemen)

More injuries than expected - conventional cleats (backs)

Since one of the thrusts of the present study was to discover the incidence of knee (re) injury of varsity high school football players with a history of prior serious (31 days or more removed from participation by a physician) knee injury, three analyses were made, including (a) shoe-cleat-ankle support of players with a history of serious knee injury compared to equipment distribution in the total player population, (b) shoe-cleat-position of players with a history of serious knee injury compared to the total player population, and (c) probability in injury to the lower extremities, with particular reference to serious knee injury and reinjury.

Table 19 presents the shoe, cleat, ankle support of players with a history of prior serious (31+) knee injury compared to the distribution of those factors in the total player population. Significant deviations from expectation were as follows:

Lower assignment rate than expected - high shoe, conventional
cleats, none
low shoe, conventional
cleats, wrap
low shoe, conventional
cleats, none

Higher assignment rate than expected- high shoe, disc heels, tape
high shoe, shortie cleats,
tape
low shoe, disc heels, tape

Table 20 presents the shoe, cleat, player position of players with a history of prior serious (31+) knee injury compared to the total player population. Significant deviations from expectation were as follows:

Lower assignment rate than expected - high shoe, conventional
cleats, (linemen)
low shoe, conventional
cleats (linemen)
low shoe, disc heels (backs)
low shoe, shortie cleats (backs)

Higher assignment rate than expected- high shoe, disc heels
(linemen)
low shoe, disc heels
(linemen)

Table 21 portrays clearly the probability of injury to the lower extremities, injury to the knee, serious injury to the knee, and serious reinjury to the knee. The most significant fact is the extremely high probability of serious reinjury to the knees of players with a previous history of serious knee injury (15 to 17 times as likely to occur than to players with no previous history).

A stepwise multiple regression analysis was performed with selected variables to predict the degree of seriousness of injuries to the lower extremities of varsity high school football players during the 1968 season. The variables which were continuous or which implied an underlying continuum were employed as raw values. These variables were number of games played and years of experience in sports. The categorical variables were coded as dummy variables to indicate group membership as suggested by Cohen²⁰. These variables were history of previous injury (1), cleat type (2), shoe type (1), ankle support (2), action at time of injury (4), position played (5), pre-conditioning (1).

In all, the dummy coding generated sixteen variables for the regression analysis. The order of selection of the variables was arbitrarily determined from knowledge of prior findings and the considered judgment of the investigators. The order of selection was history of

prior injury, number of games, cleat type, shoe type, ankle support, position of player, pre-conditioning, years of experience in sports. The basic concept in this analysis was that the addition of information from each of the categories of variables would increase the predictability, or relationship with the continuum of seriousness. The increase in the multiple R as each variable was added to the regression seen in Table 22, where the successive values of R are presented.

In general, the relationship was very low even when all eighteen variables were included in the regression. For the "all leg injuries" regression, only approximately 5 per cent of the variance in seriousness was explained by the eighteen variables. For "all knee injuries," only 9 per cent of the variance in seriousness was explained by the factors under examination.

Although different orders for the addition of variables to the multiple regression might result in significant increases at different phases of the analysis, the total explained variance would remain constant.

TABLE 22
1968 NYSPHSAA Football Study
Multiple Regression Analysis--Selected Variables

| <u>Variables</u> | <u>All Leg Injuries</u> | | <u>All Knee Injuries</u> | |
|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| | <u>R</u> | <u>Significance of Increase</u> | <u>R</u> | <u>Significance of Increase</u> |
| History of Prior Injury | .150 | * | .133 | * |
| Number of Games | .152 | N. S. | .134 | N. S. |
| Type of Cleat | .165 | * | .138 | N. S. |
| Type of Shoe | .165 | N. S. | .154 | N. S. |
| Type of Ankle Support | .167 | N. S. | .163 | N. S. |
| Action | .194 | N. S. | .178 | N. S. |
| Position of Player | .197 | N. S. | .218 | N. S. |
| Conditioning | .197 | N. S. | .222 | N. S. |
| Experience in Sports | .222 | * | .303 | * |

DISCUSSION

Implications of Findings

First Year - 1967-68

Because of the relatively uncontrolled nature of the study (survey) in its first year, only the seeming trend toward the reduction in severity of leg injuries associated with football players who wore a combination of low shoes, disc heels and either tape or wrap ankle supports can be discussed with any degree of certitude. The basic assumption of the study staff was that players will be injured and that data can be gathered in a uniform manner and employed to cast some light on related factors.

The restriction of the study to "injured" (only) created basic problems of applicability to the total population of players. Conclusions drawn from simple significance tests were not especially useful to either the investigators or the field. For example, the computation of Chi Square for contingency tables where N was very large presented well-known problems of statistical significance as contrasted with meaningfulness of data. Table 1 illustrated the point. The large majority of operative variables - equipment, number of games, condition of player - were uncontrolled. While one may assume randomization of these (and other) variables because of sample size (17,777 players), the distribution of cleats by type - also uncontrolled - into such unequal proportions (conventional - 12,083, disc - 5,034, shortie - 660) rendered the assumption asunder in its specific application. It was obvious, for example, that injuries to players wearing shortie cleats were disproportionately high but inability to assume randomization of all other variables among only 660 players clouded the finding.

The first year of the study, 1967-68, while it generated a wealth of data, had a philosophical rather than a research goal as a primary objective. Simply stated, the goal was to develop a rational scheme for statistically treating and interpreting such data as a year of preliminary exploration might point to as vital. And this year of study laid the foundation for the second and final year of the study.

Second Year - 1968-69

Analysis of injury rates as related to the type of cleats (alone) worn by varsity high school players during the 1968 season produced somewhat mixed results. In terms of all reportable injuries to the lower extremities (Table 12), players equipped with conventional cleats were injured at a rate significantly lower than expectation. However, when the investigators analyzed sub-areas of injury (for example, knee injuries and serious knee injuries - Tables 14 and 16) by cleat alone, no deviations from expectation were observed.

Additional data gathered in 1968-69 made it possible to carry the analysis of the effect of equipment to a further refinement which included not only cleats worn but also type of shoe and type of ankle support. With regard to the total number of reportable injuries to the lower extremities (Table 11), however, once again mixed results were obtained. Six combinations of shoe-cleat-ankle support produced significantly fewer total injuries than expected. Four combinations produced significantly more reportable injuries than expected. By and large, however, the safest combination of equipment (with regard to total leg injuries) was seen to be conventional cleats worn with a high football shoe and either ankle wraps, tape or no ankle support. High shoes worn with disc heels and ankle wraps, high shoes worn with shortie cleats and no ankle support, and low shoes worn with disc heels and ankle wraps also proved to be safe combinations of equipment. Higher than expected rates (statistically significant) of total leg injury were sustained by players equipped with high shoes, shortie cleats and ankle tape, low shoes, conventional cleats and either ankle tape or no support, and low shoes, disc heels and ankle tape.

With regard to knee injuries (Table 13), three equipment combinations yielded lower injury rates than expected, namely, high shoes, conventional cleats and either ankle wraps or no support, and low shoes, disc heels and ankle wraps.

When data on serious (31 or more days removed from participation by a physician) knee injuries were studied (Table 15), two equipment combinations were seen to produce significantly fewer injuries than expected, namely, low shoes worn with disc heels and ankle wraps, and low shoes worn with shortie cleats and no ankle support. Low shoes worn with conventional cleats and ankle tape were seen to produce a significantly greater number of serious knee injuries than expected.

Tables 17 and 18 present analyses of serious knee injuries in terms of shoe-cleat-player position (Table 17) and in terms of cleat and player position alone (Table 18). Once again, mixed results were observed and conclusions from these analyses, which contained factors other than equipment, were passed over in favor of the outcome of a later stepwise regression analysis which included all identified factors, equipment and other.

Table 21 graphically portrays the extremely high incidence of serious knee injury sustained by players with a history of prior serious knee injuries. When the data in Table 21 were interpreted in conjunction with those in Tables 19 and 20, it became obvious that serious knee reinjury was not modified by either equipment worn or position played.

When a stepwise multiple regression analysis of 18 variables-- history of previous injury, number of games played, type of cleats, type of shoes, type of ankle support, action at time of injury, position of player, conditioning, and years of experience in sports-- was run for all leg injuries, as is portrayed in Table 22, only approximately 5 per cent of the variance was accounted for. However, within this spread of variables, the contributions of previous history of injury, cleat worn, and years experience in sports were found to be significant.

The computation of a stepwise regression analysis of the same variables for all knee injuries yielded somewhat similar results. The variables accounted for only 9 per cent of the variance and, within that framework, previous history of injury and years experience in sports were found to be significant.

IMPLICATIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

While the current endeavor was a two year study, the implications and recommendations are drawn almost exclusively from the data derived during the 1968 football season--the experimental phase of the research.

The most straightforward and clear-cut implication drawn from the data was that varsity high school football players with a history of previous serious knee injury (31 or more days removed from participation by a physician) were reinjured seriously at a rate some 15 to 17 times greater than the (initial) injury rate of players with sound knees. Therefore, it is recommended that (a) players suffering a serious knee injury be required to participate in a planned program of rehabilitation under the direction of a physician as a precondition to consideration for further varsity football competition and (b) that players with a history of previous serious knee injury be carefully and thoroughly examined by a physician at the beginning of each season in order to determine the degree of rehabilitation of the injured knee and ultimate fitness to participate. Implied here, of course, is the need for greater involvement of physicians skilled in the medical aspects of sports in the certification of youngsters for participation in football.

With regard to reducing the seriousness of injuries to the lower extremities, particularly the knee, the data indicated clearly that two equipment combinations--low shoe, disc heel, ankle wrap and low shoe, shortie cleats and no ankle support--produced a statistically significant and lower rate of injuries. Conversely, a combination of low shoe, conventional cleats and ankle tape produced a greater number of serious knee injuries than expected. It is recommended, therefore, that varsity high school football players be outfitted with low shoes and some form of disc or flat heels or short cleats. It is further

recommended that serious consideration be given to a similar modification--shortening--of the sole cleats on football shoes. The data on action at the time of injury (excluding pileups which were not included in the 1968 questionnaire) lent support to the "foot fixed to the ground" theory enunciated by Hanley and others.

It is imperative that physicians, athletic directors, coaches and other concerned with player safety in football understand clearly that the reduction of serious injuries to the lower extremities is and will continue to be an evolutionary rather than a revolutionary process. For example, if all players were immediately equipped with low shoes and drastically shortened cleats (heel and sole), the total number of serious knee injuries (328) would be reduced by only some 10-20 cases. Furthermore, if all players with a history of previous serious knee injuries were completely rehabilitated and returned to play, the total of serious knee injuries would only be reduced, statewide, by some 40-50 cases. By means of simple arithmetic it can be seen that some 257 serious knee injuries could still be expected during the course of a football season.

This is not to reduce the urgency of outfitting youngsters with the safest equipment combinations or of improving the rehabilitation and player screening processes. But it does point out that those seeking to improve the safety of the game must turn to both factors related to the condition of the organism and factors related to the nature and conduct of the sport. Further research in the area of shoe equipment may well turn out to be a somewhat unrewarding process.

With reference to the condition of the organism, more attention must be given to such areas as (1) the relative levels of physical maturity of the youngest and oldest boys eligible to compete in varsity high school football, (2) year-round programs of general physical fitness for all pupils, athletes and non-competitors, (3) year-round programs of conditioning directed to the areas of highest vulnerability of football players (the knees, for instance), and (4) extended spring or summer pre-season conditioning programs for football players. While one can be accused of overemphasizing football, the investigators believe that safety can never be overemphasized.

In the area of the rules of play governing the sport, a great deal of thought must be given to modifying or eliminating potentially dangerous situations. For example, (1) constant attention must be given to upgrading the understanding and skills of officials (who have a primary responsibility for the safety of play), (2) certain techniques such as "crack back" blocking, piling on, clipping, spearing, "blind side" blocking and the like must be discouraged by coaches and officials, (3) field zones in which clipping is legal must be restricted and (4) innovations designed to improve safety--restriction of blocking to above the waist, for example--must be tried and evaluated regardless of whether they change the "traditional" nature of the game.

SUMMARY

Through an examination of data on injuries to the lower extremities of varsity high school players ($N=17,777$), this study sought in 1967 to ascertain (1) whether modified cleats brought about a reduction in the number and/or severity of such injuries, and (2) whether there existed an optimum combination of equipment (shoes, cleats, ankle supports) for reduction in the number and/or severity of such injuries.

While little in the way of statistical analysis was possible, much was learned about the basic problems of data handling and interpretation. The first year's efforts also helped to identify the other variables seemingly related to injuries to the lower extremities.

In 1968, the second and final year of the study, data were gathered on the injuries to the lower extremities suffered by 17,856 varsity high school football players in New York State. By means of an arcsine transformation technique, it was determined that the lowest rate of serious knee injuries was incurred by youngsters outfitted with either low shoes, disc heels and ankle wraps or low shoes, shortie cleats and no ankle support.

Analysis of data on 204 players with a history of previous serious knee injury revealed that these players were reinjured at a rate 15 to 17 times greater than youngsters with no prior history. This experience was not modified by any one of 18 equipment combinations.

A series of stepwise multiple regression analyses indicated that 18 variables (history of previous injury, type of shoe, type of cleat, type of ankle support, experience in sports, preconditioning and the like) accounted for only between 5 and 9 per cent of the variance in seriousness of injury.

It was recommended that (1) all youngsters be outfitted with low shoes and modified heels, discs or shortened cleats, (2) consideration be given to modifying sole cleats, (3) rehabilitation and screening procedures be strengthened in order to reduce the hazards of reinjury to players with a previous history of serious injury, (4) extensive efforts be undertaken to improve the physical conditioning of all football players, and (5) that consideration be given to modifying the rules of play to increase player safety.

REFERENCES

- 1 Eastwood, F. R.: Athletic Injuries, New England Journal of Medicine 271: 411-413 (August 20) 1964.
- 2 Ryan, A. J.: Prevention of Sports Injuries, New Physician 2 (a): 9 (September) 1963.
- 3 Committee on the Medical Aspects of Sports: Standard Nomenclature of Athletic Injuries, Chicago: American Medical Association, 1966, p.vii.
- 4 Allen, M. L.: Air Force Football Injuries, Journal of the American Medical Association 206: 1053-1058 (October 28) 1968.
- 5 AMAGRAMS: Journal of the American Medical Association 197, (September 26) 1966, adv. p. 9.
- 6 Hursh, L. M.: Number and Types of Sports Injuries, Journal of the American Medical Association 199: 507 (February 13) 1967.
- 7 Allen: op. cit.
- 8 Rowe, M. L.: quoted in the Proceedings of the Meeting of the Committee on the Medical Aspects of Sports and the Safety Committee of the NYSPHSAA, Hotel Concord, Kiamesha Lake, N.Y., January 21, 1967.
- 9 Morehouse, L. E., and Rasch, Philip J.: Sports Medicine for Trainers, Philadelphia: W. B. Saunders Co., 2nd Edition, 1964, p. 126
- 10 Thorndike, A.: Athletic Injuries, Philadelphia: Lea and Febigen, 5th Edition, 1962, p. 67.
- 11 Lardner, R.: 1968 Was the Year of the Knee, New York Times (Magazine Section), January 26, 1969, pp. 4-14.
- 12 Gibbs, R. W.: Medical Observation on Football Shoes, unpublished staff report, Harvard University, August 1968.
- 13 Hanley, D. F., Controllable External Factors in Lower Extremity Injuries, a paper presented at the Second Annual Symposium on the Medical Aspects of Sports, sponsored by the Medical Society of the State of New York, Americana Hotel, New York City, February 8, 1969.
- 14 Hanley: op. cit.
- 15 Ibid.

- 16 As reported by Gibbs, op. cit.
- 17 Rowe, L., 1968 Varsity Football Knee and Ankle Injury Study, a paper presented at the Second Annual Symposium on the Medical Aspects of Sports, sponsored by the Medical Society of the State of New York, Americana Hotel, New York City, February 8, 1969.
- 18 Pisani, A., Anatomy With Reference to the Mechanics of Injury, a paper presented at the First Annual Symposium on the Medical Aspects of Sports, sponsored by the Medical Society of the State of New York, New York City, February 10, 1968.
- 19 Ibid
- 20 Cohen, Jacob, Multiple Regression in Data Analysis, Psychological Bulletin 70, (December) 1968, pp. 426-443.